

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/940,591	SAKAI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kevin L McHenry	1725	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Kevin L McHenry, examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Melanie Mealy, applicant's representative.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2004
**Time:** 1:00 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

2, 4, 5, and 7-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Lesieur et al. (U.S.P. 6,129,835) and Lesieur et al. (U.S.P. 6,454,935)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that claims 2-7 were allowable except for minor informalities. The examiner indicated that claim 1 was rejectable under U.S.P. 6,129,835 or U.S.P. 6,454,935. Agreement was reached to cancel claim 1, amend claims 2, 4, 5, and 7 to overcome informalities, and to add claims 8-13 to encompass the further embodiments of the invention.